

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 82434

Title: Open surgery: still a great option to treat patients with post-traumatic arteriovenous fistulas (a case report)

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06115848

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-26 11:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-26 11:51

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for submitting this paper. Open surgery still represents a feasible option to treat patients with post-traumatic arteriovenous fistulas. This manuscript is clear and well written and directly goes to the main point. I only suggest to expand the open procedure steps if you can. Moreover, there are plenty of examples in literature where the endovascular approach is effective, please try to cite some of them in the introduction, below some examples I suggest: - Tufano A, Asero V, Proietti F, Flammia RS, Franco G, Leonardo C. Arteriovenous fistula after robotic partial nephrectomy: Case report and narrative review. Radiol Case Rep. 2022 May 17;17(7):2550-2553. doi: 10.1016/j.radcr.2022.04.038. - Tufano A, Minelli R, Rossi E, Brilliantino C, Di Serafino M, Zeccolini M, Cantisani V, Vallone G. Inferior epigastric artery pseudoaneurysm secondary to port placement during a robot-assisted laparoscopic radical cystectomy. J Ultrasound. 2021 Dec;24(4):535-538. doi: 10.1007/s40477-020-00442-1.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 82434

Title: Open surgery: still a great option to treat patients with post-traumatic arteriovenous fistulas (a case report)

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06125275

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Algeria

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-26

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-14 07:22

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-14 08:02

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the abstract, please replace the word "review" in the 4th line with an other. This publication is far from a review but a case report. The sequence discussion is poor. The authors had not cited any work of the endovascular treatment of these AVF, to pretend that this procedure lead to pulmonar embolism. Please cite the criterium of success or failure of the endovascular treatment of this AVF to justify your open approach. The follow up of your patient is not precised. Did the cutaneous ulcers healed?