

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 82908

Title: The Burden of Severe Infections Due to Carbapenem-Resistant Pathogens in

Intensive Care Unit

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05371577 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: BSc

Professional title: Research Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-29

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-09 02:35

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-09 03:02

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [Y] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[Y] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article reviews the global burden of severe infections caused by carbapenem resistant pathogens in intensive care units. This article has certain clinical significance, but it is not innovative enough. There have been relevant international reports, and the author needs to highlight the characteristics of this article



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 82908

Title: The Burden of Severe Infections Due to Carbapenem-Resistant Pathogens in

Intensive Care Unit

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05914837 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Senior Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Latvia

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-29 16:11

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-09 10:31

Review time: 10 Days and 18 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I thank the authors for their paper and work. It was quite an interesting read. There are a few concerns that need to be corrected: 1. Bacteria names should always be written in Italics. 2. It should be third-line antibiotic or first-line antibiotic in the introduction. 3. In table 1 -> there should be footnote for explanation of "focus of infection" 4. The authors have left out many countries in the table regarding AMR rates -> Latvia (PMID: 34209766); Chile (PMID: 32973892); France, Sweden, Norway etc., and GBD studies have been left out. Data from GBD databases would have enriched the study (https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(21)02724-0/fulltext) 5. Authors didnt discuss WHO Global Action Plan on antimicrobial resistance (https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241509763). 6. To call it Global Burden in the title -> authors need to more extensively search for local databases or international databases as mentioned above.