

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 82423

**Title:** Individualized Diabetes Care: Lessons From the Real-world Experience

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05393454

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-12-17

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-01-06 05:44

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-01-15 16:22

**Review time:** 9 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The English need improvement since there are some grammatical and syntax errors in the manuscript. For example, the words “on management” may be as “on the management”; “proper” as “a proper”; “individual” as “the individual”; “the real-world” as “real-world”; “elaborate the” as “elaborate on the”; “care of” as “the care of”; “10-year” as “a 10-year”; “with gradual” as “with a gradual”; “and blood” as “and her blood”; “discussion” as “a discussion”; “when body” as “when her body”; “Semaglutide” as “os Semaglutide”; “initiation” as “the initiation”; “was commenced” as “has commenced”; “had further” as “had a further”; “long-term” as “a long-term”; “of small” as “of the small”; “fructosamine” as “a fructosamine”; “patient was” as “patient were”; “weight” as “for weight”; “, patient” as “, the patient”; “injection liraglutide” as “an injection of liraglutide”; “of her” as “in her”; “with knee” as “by knee”; “the diabetes” as “diabetes”; “12-year” as “a 12-year”; “recent” as “a recent”; “the previous” as “a previous”; “willing for” as “willing to”; “sulphonylurea” as “the sulphonylurea”; “marked” as “a marked”; “therapy 40” as “therapy of 40”; “8-year” as “an 8-year”; “was commenced” as “has commenced”; “of liver” as “of the liver”; “T1DM were” as “T1DM was”; “the cancer” as

"cancer"; "few" as "a few"; "patient's" as "the patient's"; "of all" as "all"; "for this" as "of this"; "SMS" as "of SMS"; "the 1975" as "1975"; "a rigorous" as "rigorous"; "consumption" as "the consumption"; "the obesity" as "obesity"; "the lifestyle" as "lifestyle"; "the biochemical" as "biochemical"; "an inhibition to" as "inhibition of"; "the hepatic" as "hepatic"; "worsening" as "the worsening"; "the peripheral" as "peripheral"; "the anorexiant" as "an anorexiant"; "are beneficial" as "is beneficial"; "on sufficiently" as "sufficiently"; "when HbA1c" as "when the HbA1c"; "The combination" as "Combination"; "the insulin" as "insulin"; "the antidiabetic" as "antidiabetic"; "addition" as "the addition"; "the feeding" as "feeding"; "of weight" as "of their weight"; "also shown" as "also been shown"; "these group" as "this group"; "the micro-" as "micro-"; "effect was" as "effect"; "GLP-1RA" as "the GLP-1RA"; "management" as "the management"; "a significant" as "significant"; "the SGLT2-i" as "SGLT2-i"; "also shown" as "has also been shown"; "the high" as "high"; "among the" as "among"; "of body's" as "of the body's"; "recommended for" as "recommended"; "history" as "a history"; "the drug" as "drug"; "benefits of" as "the benefits of"; "with SGLT2-i" as "with the SGLT2-i"; "the glycaemic" as "glycaemic"; "on the HbA1c" as "on HbA1c"; "the several" as "several"; "which does" as "which do"; "of the glycaemic" as "of glycaemic"; "whom their" as "whose"; "the significant" as "significant"; "the overall" as "overall"; "all the T2DM" as "all T2DM"; "both are" as "both is"; ", hepatic" as ", a hepatic"; "the glycaemic" as "glycaemic"; "The continuous" as "Continuous"; "the ambient" as "ambient"; "with increased" as "with an increased"; "on their" as "their"; "the recent" as "recent"; "the T1DM" as "T1DM"; "the patients" as "patients"; "the obese" as "obese"; "a satisfactory" as "satisfactory"; "the protein" as "protein"; "the hepatic" as "hepatic"; "sustained" as "a sustained"; "in T1DM" as "in the T1DM"; "remains as" as "remains"; "sustained of" as "sustained"; "total" as "a total"; "in risk" as "in the risk"; "from use" as "from the use"; "us more" as "us with more"; "10,000 in" as "10,000"; "23,000 in" as

"23,000"; "the pancreatic" as "pancreatic"; ", mutation" as ", a mutation"; "who has" as "who have"; "presence personal" as "the presence of personal"; "presence" as "the presence"; ", family" as ", a family"; "in based" as "based"; "the monogenic" as "monogenic"; "similar" as "a similar"; "the all-cause" as "all-cause"; "the monotherapy" as "monotherapy"; "the hyperglycaemia" as "hyperglycaemia"; "reduces the postprandial" as "reducing postprandial"; "of pancreas" as "of the pancreas"; "The type" as "Type"; "of pancreas" as "of the pancreas"; "it was" as "it being"; "the pancreatic" as "pancreatic"; "the lung" as "lung"; "for presence" as "for the presence"; "the acute" as "acute"; "The patients" as "Patients"; "which are" as "which is"; "worsening of" as "worsening"; "poor" as "a poor"; "patient and family" as "the patient and families"; "the symptoms management" as "the management of the symptoms"; "The diabetes" as "Diabetes"; "end of life" as "the end of-life"; "minimal" as "a minimal"; "has been" as "have been"; "a more" as "more". The grammar mistakes which are not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly. 2. There are some typing mistakes as well, and authors are advised to carefully proof-read the text. For example, the words "follow up" may be as "follow-up"; "result in" as "results in"; "to tailor" as "to tailoring"; "Key words" as "Keywords"; "high density" as "high-density"; "low density" as "low-density"; "turn over" as "turnover"; "gradual" as "gradually"; "one month" as "one-month"; "end of life" as "end-of-life"; "course of" as "courses of"; "preventive by" as "prevention by"; "low energy" as "low-energy"; "tissues, made" as "tissues made"; "weight neutral" as "weight-neutral"; "effect such" as "effects such"; "dose dependent" as "dose-dependent"; "achieved" as "achieving"; "extended release" as "extended-release"; "Sodium Glucose" as "Sodium-Glucose"; "therefore" as ", therefore,"; "first line" as "first-line"; "add on" as "add-on"; "diabetic related" as "diabetic-related"; "high dose" as "high doses"; "post meal" as "post-meal"; "disability" as "disabilities"; "nondiabetic" as "non-diabetic"; "needs to" as "need to"; "weighted" as



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

“weighed”; “maturity onset” as “maturity-onset”; “encodes a” as “encode a”; “lead to” as “leads to”; “beta cell” as “beta-cell”; “exclude” as “excluding”; “ethnicity” as “ethnic”; “responds well” as “respond well”; “all form” as “all forms”; “new onset” as “new-onset”; “cost effective” as “cost-effective”; “and preserving” as “and preserve”; “acceptable” as “accepted”. The typos not mentioned here are also to be checked and corrected properly. 3. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and the remaining for the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, blood pressure was (BP), glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), capillary blood glucose (CBG), etc.). Make a word abbreviated in the article that is repeated at least three times in the text, not all words to be abbreviated. 4. The figure legends should be improved and a proper footnote should be given. All legends should have enough description for a reader to understand the figures without having to refer back to the main text of the manuscript. For example, the necessary abbreviations should be given which are used in the present investigation (in figure 3, GIP, GLP). 5. In conclusion, it is highly recommended to include limitation and future direction of the study for better understanding.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 82423

**Title:** Individualized Diabetes Care: Lessons From the Real-world Experience

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03830173

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Croatia

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-12-17

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-02-05 10:15

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-02-05 10:26

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, the Manuscript Individualised Diabetes Care: Lessons From the Real-world Experience is what every clinician encounters in his daily practice and is therefore an important topic to write about. Although it is quite difficult to make the selection of cases I think you did a good job by the 5 cases presented. Figures are an additional benefit. I suggest the publication.

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 82423

**Title:** Individualized Diabetes Care: Lessons From the Real-world Experience

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05393454

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-12-17

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ji-Hong Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-03-13 02:23

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-03-13 07:10

**Review time:** 4 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. There are some grammatical, alignment and typographical errors are noted in the manuscript and it should be thoroughly checked and corrected throughout the manuscript. For example, the word "Science ," may be as "Science,"; ""individual caseas "the individual cases"; "in patient" as "in a patient"; "of the clinical" as "of clinical"; "gradual" as "a gradual"; "low- density" as "low-density"; "injection liraglutide" as "an injection of liraglutide"; "up titrated" as "up-titrated"; "2 mo' time" as "2 mo' times"; "for optimisation" as "for the optimisation"; "a genetic testing" as "genetic test"; "onto the" as "to the"; "advocates a" as "advocates"; "and development" as "and the development"; "to the insulin" as "to insulin"; "alpha-cells" as "alpha cells"; "has shown" as "has been shown"; "for management" as "for the management"; "GLP-1RA" as "the GLP-1RA"; "to significant" as "to a significant"; "glucose lowering" as "glucose-lowering"; "a significant efficacy" as "significant efficacy"; "the glycaemic control" as "glycaemic control"; ", hepatic disease" as ", a hepatic disease"; "that the individuals" as "that individuals"; "describe the patients" as "describe patients"; "the hepatic" as "hepatic"; "in the T1DM" as "in T1DM"; "dose dependent" as "dose-dependent"; "of personal" as "of a personal"; "or being" as "or is"; "account of the" as "account the"; "ethnic," as "ethnicity,"; "ethnicity groups" as "ethnic groups"; "of the pancreatic" as "of pancreatic"; "and preserve" as "and preserving"; "for usual" as "for the usual". 2. The authors have not carried out the correction properly and it should be checked properly and corrected. Check the abbreviations throughout the manuscript and introduce the abbreviation when the full word appears the first time in the abstract and the remaining for the text and then use only the abbreviation (For example, in one place the authors used both expansion and abbreviation for blood pressure (BP) and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and followed by only expansion has



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

been used).