

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 81329

Title: Finger compartment syndrome due to a high-pressure washer injury: A case study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 01221812 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MPhil, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Doctor, Research Scientist, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Pakistan

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-09

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-31 05:38

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-12 09:39

Review time: 12 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript would benefit from the following changes/corrections: 1. Since there is only a single patient described, therefore, please revise the title. Suggested title: Finger compartment syndrome due to a high-pressure washer injury: a case study Introduction: The authors may like to mention the prevalence of finger compartment syndrome in various populations. 3. Fig. 1. Please label the images A-F.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 81329

Title: Finger compartment syndrome due to a high-pressure washer injury: A case study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06491054 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MMed

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Malaysia

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-09

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-21 11:27

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-21 12:20

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade D: No scientific significance
	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language
Language quality	polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing []
	Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority)
	[Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Overall, a simple yet interesting case. The case is well written with minor issues. Abstract and introduction were adequately described. Comments: Keywords: suggest to use MeSH keywords if possible. Case report: 1. Suggest the authors to specify the mechanism of injury. Was he cut by a pin or other? 2. Usually, we specific capillary refill test by the time or duration. Suggest to specify. 3. Since the patient requested amputation, why the attending doctors did not do so? Were the counselled subsequently? 4. Figures are not labelled. Discussion: The discussion about the rarity of Finger compartment syndrome is not described. References: Some references were more than 10-20 years old. Since compartment syndrome itself is quite common, suggest to update some of the references.