

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 84161

Title: Dexmedetomidine-induced anesthesia in elderly patients undergoing hip

replacement surgery

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06086895

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Research Associate

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-14 09:01

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-27 12:09

Review time: 13 Days and 3 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting study of the anesthetic effect of dexmedetomidine in elderly patients undergoing hip replacement. The manuscript is well written. The reviewer recommends to accept this manuscript after a minor editing. Thank you.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 84161

Title: Dexmedetomidine-induced anesthesia in elderly patients undergoing hip

replacement surgery

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06110778 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-20 02:18

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-27 12:15

Review time: 7 Days and 9 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective a2 receptor agonist, which has a good effect in reducing the body 's stress response and stabilizing the patient 's intraoperative hemodynamics. Therefore, it has a good application prospect in perioperative organ protection. However, the application effect of dexmedetomidine in anesthesia of elderly patients with hip replacement is not completely clear. This study is designed to analyze the anesthetic effect of dexmedetomidine in elderly patients with hip replacement. This study is well performed and the results are very interesting. Minor comments: 1. The manuscript requires a minor editing. Some minor language polishing should be corrected. 2. The abstract should be revised. A short background should be added and the results section in the abstract is too long. Please only keep the key findings in this section. 3. The limit of the study should be discussed. 4. The references list should be edited and updated.