

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 82413

Title: Psychological review of hemodialysis patients and kidney transplant recipients

during the COVID-19 pandemic

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06333260 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-20 02:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-20 02:19

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish	
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection	
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection	
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No	



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Even this is a well written study. I do not find this as the study has insufficient priority at the current moment.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 82413

Title: Psychological review of hemodialysis patients and kidney transplant recipients

during the COVID-19 pandemic

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05382038 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-31 05:32

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-10 06:19

Review time: 10 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors aimed at comparing psychosocial concerns and psychological difficulties of kidney transplant recipients and hemodialysis patients during and related to the pandemic. The subject is of interest. However, the overall quality of the article needs to be improved. The specific comments are as follows: 1. In the abstract of the article, the full name of ESRD should be provided when it was used for the first time. 2. The analysis of the basic characteristics and clinical data revealed significant disparities between the two groups in some factors. What effect would this have on the outcomes? did it have an impact on the credibility of the results? The authors should explain in the article's discussion section. 3. The absence of a control group is a shortcoming of the article. The article would have been more interesting if the psychosocial status of KT and HD patients during the period prior to the COVID-19 epidemic had been included as a control group to obtain information about the psychosocial changes in the patients due to COVID-19 epidemic.