

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 83700

Title: Value of clinical applications of differential pressure and relative pressure imaging

in the left ventricle

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05827902 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACC, MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-12 18:13

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-12 18:33

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a review of differential pressure and relative pressure imaging techniques in echocardiography to assess LV relaxation and diastolic dysfunction. This technique is evolving and certainly a great avenue for future studies to further explore and validate its role in assessment of left ventricular function. The authors have described the techniques and reviewed the current evidence well.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 83700

Title: Value of clinical applications of differential pressure and relative pressure imaging

in the left ventricle

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05421264 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-05

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-12 15:06

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-16 17:19

Review time: 4 Days and 2 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic of this review is interesting. I have some suggestions for the authors. 1. The Introduction is very short. It should highlight the importance of left intraventricular pressure differences and contain the aim of the review. 2. The manuscript needs English editing. I suggest to ask a native English-speaking colleague to revise the manuscript. Please also correct the punctuation errors. 3. If the authors consider a study relevant for their review, they should mention the author and the study type (cohort study, etc), for example: "[4]. The study also shows that there are regional pressure differences in both diastole and systole. Measurements of intraventricular pressure gradients throughout the cardiac cycle have provided insight into the complex interplay between LV filling and cardiac function[2]." 4. I think the manuscript would be easier to understand if the authors would highlight better the phases of the cardiac cycle. They could number them - Paragraph 2, page 2. 5. Conclusions are too long.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 83700

Title: Value of clinical applications of differential pressure and relative pressure imaging

in the left ventricle

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05421264 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-05

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Jie Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-17 05:49

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-17 09:32

Review time: 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have answered all my questions.