

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 83624

Title: Hepatic inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03253532 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Chief Physician, Professor, Senior Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-02

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-24 14:04

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-07 18:17

Review time: 11 Days and 4 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
	·



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case report summarizes the authors' experience in a case of HIMT. The topic is not novel, and recent similar cases have been published. The discussion is informative. One major point of such cases should be to reach a diagnosis before surgical resection. Some points should be addressed: 1. A detailed description of the radiological findings should be welcomed. In particular, which CT and RM aspects could differentiate HIMT from HCC? 2. At surgical pathology, macronodular liver cirrhosis was present. It is singular that no evidence of this condition was detected in any imaging study before surgery. 3. Since the patient was considered without cirrhosis, had no reported evidence of liver disease, and AFP values were normal, why did the authors not perform a liver biopsy of the focal lesion before surgery? 4. Instead of stating that lab values were within the normal range, principal liver enzyme and oncologic markers results should be reported. Multi-Disciplinary Treatment (MDT): Treatment should be replaced with Team The English language must be improved in the paper.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 83624

Title: Hepatic inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05775678 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-02-02

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-03-15 06:45

Reviewer performed review: 2023-03-15 07:23

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report a case of IMT occured in the liver of a 65y female. This tumor rarely occurs in the liver, therefore the report is of interest. Some suggestions: - introduction is too general, I suggest to focus on IMT instead of a general section on primary liver tumors. For example, they could say that IMT is more frequently encountered in other anatomical sites in the GI tract, more common in chioldren and youg adult instead of patients in their 60s and so. Moreover thay call IMT "hepatitis myoblastoma" in the last paragraph but they are not synonimous; - case presentation: a more detailed description of the morphology of the tumor is appropriate. It appears mandatory to cite the ALK1 clone used for IHC, there are several clones which can applied in different pathological contest. Figure 3: I suggest showing the tumor and IHC at higher magnification to appreciate the morphological details. - discussion: interesting but can improved. Is there a specific pattern on imaging whihe could suggest IMT? Are there situation in which a biopsy could be performed? When clinicians have to suspect hepatic IMT? Whihe type of liver resection is more indicated? Frozen sections from the tumor could be an option? If yes why? - cocnlusions: appropriate - citation number 6: do you want to cite



https://www.wjgnet.com

the 5th edition of WHO Classification Tumors?? The most appropriate citation is chapter 12 of Digestive system tumors, WHO Classification of tumors, 5th ed.