

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 85118 Title: Posterior Reversible Encephalopathy Syndrome Following Uneventful Clipping of an Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysm Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind **Reviewer's code:** 05384882 **Position:** Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD Professional title: Attending Doctor, BSN, Neurosurgeon, Postdoc, Postdoctoral Fellow, **Research Assistant** Reviewer's Country/Territory: China Author's Country/Territory: South Korea Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-13 Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-27 00:43 Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-28 06:40 Review time: 1 Day and 5 Hours [ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Scientific quality Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish

Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair	
	[ ] Grade D: No novelty	



Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair</li> <li>[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation</li> </ul>
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Has the author consider the diagnosis of reversible cerebral vasoconstrictor syndrome? 2. What about the difference of diagnosis in the ruptured and unruptured aneurysm, author coud add discusssion by review the previous published case studies. What are the key points we need to pay attention for the PRES in UIA patients? 3. Please combined the figure 2A-D in a panel.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 85118

Title: Posterior Reversible Encephalopathy Syndrome Following Uneventful Clipping of

an Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysm

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02968018

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-13

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-03 01:29

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-04 04:59

Review time: 1 Day and 3 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair</li> <li>[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation</li> </ul>



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present a case report of clinical interest, which is illustrated by interesting images. The subject falls within the scope of the journal. Description and discussion of the findings are well done and well-founded. The bibliography is pertinent and current, but must be expanded. The text needs improvement, and topics that deserve special attention were marked in yellow in the attached file.



## **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 85118 Title: Posterior Reversible Encephalopathy Syndrome Following Uneventful Clipping of an Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysm Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 02968018 **Position:** Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD **Professional title:** Associate Professor Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil Author's Country/Territory: South Korea Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-13 Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-11 12:22 Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-11 22:19

**Review time:** 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper is good and well written. My suggestions were incorporated in this reviewed form.