

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79879

Title: Anti-leucine-rich glioma inactivated protein 1 encephalitis with sleep disturbance

as the first symptom: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00724070

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Slovenia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-12 15:22

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-12 15:39

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is well written with an informative Introduction and then a nice case presentation follows, discussing clinical picture and the neurological status, flowing by the diagnostics and treatment. Overall, the paper is well written, suitable for publication and adds new knowledge to his area. Was the patent discharged with antiepileptic therapy, beside a corticosteroid? How frequent is the follow up? Any anticipations for the future? Overall, I recommend the article for publication in its present form.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79879

Title: Anti-leucine-rich glioma inactivated protein 1 encephalitis with sleep disturbance

as the first symptom: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05753119

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-10

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-23 14:58

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-31 19:18

Review time: 8 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision</li> <li>[ ] Major revision</li> <li>[ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors; The manuscript is well written. The the abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. The subject is interesting. But similarity rate is 65%. It should less than 20%. It should be rephrased. If manuscript edit There are some grammatical mistakes in the manuscript. You should check spelling rules.