

#### PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80121

Title: Fat-poor renal angiomyolipoma with prominent cystic degeneration: A case report

and review of the literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02719046 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Tunisia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-09 11:17

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-10 15:40

Review time: 1 Day and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



# Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript the authors report a case of Fat-poor renal angiomyolipoma with prominent cystic degeneration The title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. The Introduction is well resourced and the subject is presented very well. The abstract summarizes and reflects the work described in the manuscript. The abstract is compatible with the main text. The keywords reflect the focus of the manuscript. The histological figures (Figure 2 and 3) are inadequate and must be changed by higher quality figures with a perfect resolution The authors should add a figure illustrating the macroscopic aspect of the tumor. The references are not up-to-date. This manuscript is well-balanced. The style, language and grammar require minor revision This manuscript does not add anything new to the medical literature



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80121

Title: Fat-poor renal angiomyolipoma with prominent cystic degeneration: A case report

and review of the literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05382551 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-21 08:12

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-21 08:32

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is within the scope of the journal, and deals with an interesting topic. It is well written and structured. His reading is fluent. The content is original and represents an important contribution to the state of the art. However it must be improved to be accepted: a) A state of the art section should be included. b) The discussion should be expanded and improved so that the work presented is compared with other similar ones. Establishing the limitations and advantages. References should be expanded. c) In the conclusion, the scientific contribution must be synthesized and a set of lines of future work must be established.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80121

Title: Fat-poor renal angiomyolipoma with prominent cystic degeneration: A case report

and review of the literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06118159 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-21 12:33

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-27 02:05

**Review time:** 5 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



# Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a case report describing a fat-poor renal angiomyolipoma with prominent cystic degeneration in a 60 year old man that was challenging to diagnose radiographically as well as intraoperatively and thus radical nephrectomy was performed and with histological/immunohistochemical evaluations was diagnosed as an angiomyolipoma. Specific comments are listed below: In the History of Present Illness section, it's not entirely clear why the patient presented. We know he had a renal mass diagnosed 5 years prior and that he had a "fall several months ago." Was the health examination report a clinic visit or imaging? Why was it done? I would recommend describing the story/timeline more clearly. In the History of Past Illness section, any clinical signs/symptoms of tuberous sclerosis? Or prior scans/imaging showing sporadic lymphangioleiomyomatosis? In the Family History section, would specify in terms of any history of renal masses, renal cell carcinoma, angiomyolipomas, tuberous sclerosis, In the Treatment section, I would elaborate. To my understanding, he underwent laparoscopic cyst deroofing and then biopsy? Was percutaneous biopsy ever considered? Was this approach taken due to high concern of malignant transformation? Was radical nephrectomy chosen due to the fact that RCC could not be ruled out? Was partial nephrectomy or nephron-sparing approach ever considered? In the Outcome/Follow Up section, was he evaluated for tuberous sclerosis complex with genetic testing or examinations otherwise? Overall I think the discussion section is quite good. I would elaborate on how sporadic AMLs are typically managed and what made this case interesting/unique. In the conclusion, in the text "However, in this case, we should note that when faced with a large cystic mass of the kidney, we cannot rule out the



https://www.wjgnet.com

possibility of AML"; did you mean to say rule out the possibility of RCC? There are some ways the writing could be improved as well e.g. in the core tip can just summarize and not necessarily say too much about the case/patient per se.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80121

Title: Fat-poor renal angiomyolipoma with prominent cystic degeneration: A case report

and review of the literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06140863 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Academic Research, Assistant Professor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-24 08:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-02 16:14

**Review time:** 9 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



# Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have developed an interesting case report. The manuscript is coherent and well structured. In order to improve the quality of the work, I suggest enlarging the size of the arrows in Figure 1. In addition, in histology microphotographs it is essential to include the magnifications used and/or include a scale.



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80121

Title: Fat-poor renal angiomyolipoma with prominent cystic degeneration: A case report

and review of the literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05382551 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Spain

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-18 10:38

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-18 10:44

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper can be accepted in current form



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80121

Title: Fat-poor renal angiomyolipoma with prominent cystic degeneration: A case report

and review of the literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06118159 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: Jing-Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-21 16:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-02 02:26

**Review time:** 10 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

As indicated in the text, a few grammatical revisions are needed. With those corrections, I think this can be accepted for publication.