

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80668

Title: Relapsed primary extraskeletal osteosarcoma of liver: A case report and review of

literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06366731 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-31 15:22

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-01 03:54

Review time: 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Peer-reviewer statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Primary osteosarcoma of the liver is rare entity with a dozen of cases documented in the literature. While often found in the soft tissue of the limbs, Di et al. presents a new case of this rare neoplasm in the liver of a 76-year-old male. A CT scan show a liver enlargement with a giant cystic-solid mass. Pathological examinations reveal fibroblastic malignant cells producing lace-like osteoid matrix. The authors conclude that diagnosis of ESOS requires pathology and immunohistochemistry for confirmation. Overall, the report is well-written and provide useful clinical information regarding this rare type of cancer. However, I have some questions/comments as described below to improve the manuscript. 1. This report lacks comprehensive discussion regarding how this presented case is different from or similar to other previous reports of primary hepatic osteosarcoma. 2. Primary osteosarcoma of the liver is previously diagnosed with cirrhosis. Is there information regarding this issue? 3. While several markers were examined for immunohistochemistry, the authors show a weak staining result of SATB2 as a main figure (Figure 4). What is the significance of expression of SATB2 in the tumor? The authors should elaborate their rationale of presenting this specific piece of evidence. 4. For Figure 3, arrows and insets could be very helpful for readers to understand the histological descriptions provided by the authors in the figure legends. 5. Image(s) of macroscopic view of the tumor should be included in the report potentially along with Figure 3. 6. Is there an examination of the tumor tissue from the ESOS recurrence in this patient? This information could be helpful for future studies of this neoplasm. Minor comments: 7. Please indicate the meaning of red arrows presented in all figures in the figure legends. 8. "The cystic fluid was already lost, and the grayish-red and



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

grayish-yellow solid area of the tumor was soft with a cut-fish-like surface." This sentence was repeated twice (pg. 4). 9. Correct the verb tense (the word 'continue') under "Treatment" section pg. 5



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80668

Title: Relapsed primary extraskeletal osteosarcoma of liver: A case report and review of

literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05342613 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: FACS

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-01 11:17

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-03 06:37

Review time: 1 Day and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. YES 2 Abstract. YES 3 Key words. YES 4 Background. YES, Descriptive 6 Results. Well desribed 7 Discussion. Suitable but needs some Methods. Suitable more information about ESOS in the literaturs. Examples: Number of the reported case or clinical study ??. Just liver. %? About the tumpor free margin of the specimen. This may be the reason of early recurrence. 8 Illustrations and tables. Sufficient 9 Biostatistics. N/S 10 Units. YES 11 References. Suitable. Contemporary 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Well descripted and discused 13 Research methods and reporting. Suitable 14 Ethics statements. Suitable



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80668

Title: Relapsed primary extraskeletal osteosarcoma of liver: A case report and review of

literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06247221 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Portugal

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-01 16:16

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-08 00:37

Review time: 6 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this article, Qiu-Yi Di and colleagues report a rare case of primary extraskeletal osteosarcoma of liver. However, several issues need to be addressed: abstract, there is a similar phrase in BACKGROUND and CASE SUMMARY. Authors must eliminate one of the sentences. 2 - The initial part of the Core Tip is exactly the same as the abstract. 3 - Page 4: "A 76-year-old male readmitted to the hospital due to abdominal distension and pain.". Please correct the sentence: "A 76-year-old male was readmitted..." 4 - How long after hospital discharge was the patient readmitted? 5 -Page 4: "The patient wanted the mass removed". Was the surgery performed just because the patient wanted it? Was the case discussed in a multidisciplinary cancer group meeting? Why was a biopsy of the lesion not performed? 6 - Page 4: "The cystic fluid was already lost, and the grayish-red and grayish-yellow solid area of the tumor was soft with a cut-fish-like surface." This sentence is repeated twice in the manuscript. 7 - Was the lesion completely removed in surgery? 8 - Laboratory examinations - Other analytical tests should be mentioned, such as blood count, liver profile, coagulation function and other tumor markers. 9 - "The patient received capecitabine monotherapy and was discharged 34 days after surgery." and "Seven days after surgery, the patient died of multiple organ failure." Authors should better clarify each of the temporal events 10 - "Considering that the patient had inferior vena cava compression, stenosis, and a large amount of ascites, stent implantation in the inferior vena cava and transcatheter arterial chemoembolization were performed." - This sentence refers to TREATMENT and not FURTHER DIAGNOSTIC WORK-UP. 11 - Has a bone scan or other bone-directed examination been performed?