

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 81259

Title: Recurrent intramuscular lipoma at extensor pollicis brevis: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05589261

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-20 18:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-28 20:30

Review time: 8 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The presented case report is novel as it represents the first report of IML in extensor pollicis brevis. However, some of my comments are: 1) the authors need to mention the details (type and dose) of general anesthesia they have used during the surgery. 2) Any adverse events during or after post surgery recovery process should be reported. 3) Is there any infiltration of these lipoma cells to other body muscles/tissues/organs? Any test done?



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 81259

Title: Recurrent intramuscular lipoma at extensor pollicis brevis: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06459703

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-01 22:34

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-09 23:32

Review time: 8 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case report is well structured overall, and it was found that it adds to current knowledge. However, there are some shortcomings and questions. Abstract 1. It is stated, "Recurrent IMLs, especially those with unclear boundaries, need complete excision to differentiate it from sarcoma". Please evaluate, is it correct that complete excision aims to differentiate IMLs from sarcoma? 2. It says "Several cases if IML in the hand have been reported". It could be revised as "Several cases of IML in the hand have been reported" 3. Keywords do not fully reflect the focus of the manuscript. Use simple phrases rather than single words when necessary Introduction 1. It is stated, "However, of all IMLs, 83% are infiltrative and 17% are well-defined, which is often difficult to distinguish from surrounding tissues". The idea in this sentence is not conveyed clearly, and it seems that this sentence does not match the reference cited. 2. The sentence explaining the MRI examination has been well-written but seems less relevant to the previous sentence. Case Presentation 1. In the "History of present illness" section, please confirm whether the lump recurs on the forearm or wrist. 2. The content in the "Treatment" section does not match this subheading and is more appropriate for describing outcomes. 3. Photos regarding follow-up after 5 years are better presented in the manuscript