

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 87340

Title: Neonatal methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus pneumonia-related

recurrent fatal pyopneumothorax: A case report and review of literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05927757 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FCCP, FRCS, MBBS, MS

Professional title: Academic Editor, Consultant Cardiac Surgeon, Director, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-08-21 07:08

Reviewer performed review: 2023-08-30 06:00

**Review time:** 8 Days and 22 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language
Language quality	polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ]
	Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)
	[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nice case report by the authors - regarding aggressive treatment of MRSA pneumonia in a neonate. Options for and against ECMO were discussed well.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 87340

Title: Neonatal methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus pneumonia-related

recurrent fatal pyopneumothorax: A case report and review of literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00506623 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-21

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-05 13:20

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-07 12:55

**Review time:** 1 Day and 23 Hours

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review 87340 The case report by Li et al. describes the case of a neonate that succumbed to methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) pneumonia. Overall, the case presentation of the patient's vitals is well presented, including the steps that were done to save the neonates life. The biggest issue is how was it determined that the bacteria was S. aureus and how the antibiotic susceptibility testing was done. Was S. aureus identified by biochemical tests or mass spectrometry or another method? Was a disk diffusion assay performed to determine susceptibility to the antibiotics noted or was another approach used? What criteria were applied to say this was a MRSA strain? Other comments are noted below. 1. Line 19 Abstract Case Summary Change the comma to a semi-colon. 2. Line 26 Abstract Case Summary remove the comma. 3. Line 9 Abstract Conclusion Change At this very moment to Currently, 4. If you are going to use line designations have it start with the abstract and proceed through the remainder of the manuscript rather than restarting the line numbering for each separate section. 5. Introduction Lines 7 and 8. Change to Methicillin-resistant S. aureus causes both hospital- 6. Introduction Line 15 Change to lead to shock and. 7. Introduction Line 15



What is Its? 8. Introduction Line 17 Add and penmatoceles. 9. Laboratory examinations Add parame ters after dehydrogensase. 10. Species names are italicized. 11. Laboratory examinations Do not state suggested infection. Be definitive in naming the species responsible. 12. How do you know this is a highly virulent strain of MRSA?



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 87340

Title: Neonatal methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus pneumonia-related

recurrent fatal pyopneumothorax: A case report and review of literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00506623 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-21

Reviewer chosen by: Li Li

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-26 13:46

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-26 16:53

**Review time:** 3 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have addressed my concerns.