

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 87835

Title: Renal pelvis sarcomatoid carcinoma with renal vein tumor thrombus: A case

report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05223442

**Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACS, FICS, MD, MSc

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Senior Researcher, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Liberia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-30

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-14 09:46

Reviewer performed review: 2023-09-18 11:35

Review time: 4 Days and 1 Hour

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ <mark>Y</mark> ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair</li> <li>[ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation</li> </ul>



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Areas for Improvement: Organization and Clarity: The manuscript's introduction lacks a clear statement of the study's objectives and relevance. It could benefit from a more concise introduction that outlines the purpose of the paper and its contribution to the Case Presentation: The case presentation could be more structured. It should field. include a chronological account of the patient's medical history, diagnostic journey, and treatment plan. Additionally, providing a timeline for key events would enhance readability. Discussion on Genetic Findings: While the manuscript mentions specific genes (e.g., ELF, LTK, NOTCH2, REL, ZFHX3) that showed noteworthy mutations, it does not elaborate on the significance of these genetic alterations or their potential implications for RPSC diagnosis and treatment. A more comprehensive discussion of the genetic findings would be beneficial. Treatment Section: The treatment section briefly mentions nephrectomy and cisplatin-based chemotherapy but lacks details on the treatment regimen, patient response, and potential alternatives or considerations for future treatment approaches. Expanding on the treatment aspect would provide a more well-rounded perspective. How could the authors consider nephroureterectomy as well



since final histopathology was recieved after surgery. Language and Formatting: The manuscript could benefit from more consistent formatting and proofreading for grammar and language. Additionally, the use of subsections within the discussion section could improve the flow and organization of ideas.



# **RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT**

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 87835 Title: Renal pelvis sarcomatoid carcinoma with renal vein tumor thrombus: A case report and literature review Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind Reviewer's code: 05223442 **Position:** Editorial Board Academic degree: FACS, FICS, MD, MSc Professional title: Assistant Professor, Senior Researcher, Surgeon Reviewer's Country/Territory: Liberia Author's Country/Territory: China Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-30 Reviewer chosen by: Cong Lin Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-07 22:23 Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-07 22:28

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The comments have been addressed. Thanks