

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79792

**Title:** Computed Tomography diagnosed Left ovarian venous thrombophlebitis after vaginal delivery: a case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00722219

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-09

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-09 16:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-09 18:17

Review time: 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

"Computed Tomography diagnosed Left ovarian venous thrombophlebitis after vaginal delivery: a case report and literature review " is a good study that has a well design. The quality of the figures and the quality and number of literature are enough for the accepting. Quality of the writing design and overall quality of the content of this manuscript are enough for the accepting. This study may be accepted for the publication. Sincerely yours.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 79792

**Title:** Computed Tomography diagnosed Left ovarian venous thrombophlebitis after vaginal delivery: a case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05374991

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Master's Student, Research Assistant

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-09

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-24 17:29

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-06 00:36

Review time: 11 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<ul> <li>[ ] Accept (High priority)</li> <li>[ ] Accept (General priority)</li> <li>[ Y] Minor revision</li> <li>[ ] Major revision</li> <li>[ ] Rejection</li> </ul>
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General Impression: The authors reported an interesting case of postpartum left ovarian vein thrombosis. The case is informative and uncommon. The figures are clear and representative. However, this manuscript is not publishable in its current form and require careful revision. Comments: 1. The discussion section of the abstract requires reformatting as the sentences are long and hard to follow. Please rephrase it using shorter sentences; it is recommended to keep the phrase length between w5 and 35 words. 2. The keywords are inappropriate. Please write "ovarian venous thrombosis", "postpartum", and remove abdominal pain. I would also suggest adding Multi-detector Row Computed Tomography. 3. In the method and result section, could you please clarify whether the patient has concomitant cardiovascular or haematological disease? Had she had previously any thrombotic accident? 4. In the method and result section, did you investigate the levels of protein C, protein S and anti-thrombin III? 5. In the method and result section, did you ask the patient to take Rivaroxaban over the long term as prophylaxis? 6. The written language requires extensive editing and revisions because it has a lot of grammatical and linguistic mistakes. I suggest having the manuscript revised by someone fluent in English or a native English speaker. In addition, please make sure to follow the journal guidelines regarding the manuscript formatting.