

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80871

Title: Epithelioid trophoblastic tumor of the lower uterine segment and cervical canal: A

case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02451447

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-23 02:00

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-03 02:16

Review time: 11 Days

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority)       [ ] Accept (General priority)         [ Y] Minor revision       [ ] Major revision       [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors reported a case of epithelioid trophoblastic tumor (ETT) of the lower uterine segment and cervical canal. ETT is uncommon. Comments: 1. Please make the case summary more concise. 2. Laboratory examinations: Please also list the normal reference range of all the markers (bHCG, CK19, CEA, CA19-9, etc.) 3. Please improve the usage of English 4. Figure 1. Please add arrows to panels A and B to point to the lesion. 5. Figure 3. Please re-count Ki-67 index. Based on the picture, it looks like greater than 20%. 6. Figure 4. Please remove the scalpel from panel C.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80871

Title: Epithelioid trophoblastic tumor of the lower uterine segment and cervical canal: A

case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06201109

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-06 05:03

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-13 14:50

Review time: 7 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<ul> <li>[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing</li> <li>[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection</li> </ul>
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority)[ ] Accept (General priority)[ Y] Minor revision[ ] Major revision[ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I was pleased to review the article Epithelioid trophoblastic tumor of the lower uterine segment and cervical canal: a case report and literature review The methodology used by the authors is appropriate for the purpose of the study. In general, the Manuscript may benefit from some revisions, as suggested below: - the ABSTRACT section is very laborious, there are a lot of details about the case, and the number of words is more than 300. - the values of the various analyzes are not related to the range of normal values of the laboratory - It doesn't seem representative to me that case report should be a Keywords - there is an article on pub med- case report - where the patient had only a natural childbirth, with no history of cesarean section. Therefore, perhaps caesarean section trauma does not have a determining role for the occurrence of ETT (maybe only a predisposing role???). I attach the reference to this article just to be taken into account - Uterine Epithelioid Trophoblastic Tumor in a 44-Year-Old Woman: А Diagnostic Dilemma. Clin Pract. 2021 Sep 13;11(3):631-639. doi: 10.3390/clinpract11030078. PMID: 34563007; PMCID: PMC8482181. - taking into account this mentioned article, the discussions and conclusions may undergo some changes - I suggest that, for images, it would be useful to identify the exemplified notions with arrows (especially for figure 1, 2, 3) - to evaluate the patient's prognostic factors, I suggest the FIGO prognostic score - there is no uniform writing of references order for name, surname....- and there are numbers after the names of the authors....I suggest a revision of the References