

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80124

Title: Angioimmunoblastic T-Cell Lymphoma induced hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and disseminated intravascular coagulopathy: A case report and literature of review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05632699

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Belgium

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-16 05:59

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-16 06:29

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection

Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper is about a single case of AITL associated with DIC and HLH. Unfortunately, the documentation of this case is insufficient as such. 1. Regarding AITL, the pathological description is not sufficiently detailed and there is no evidence of T cell clonality by TCR rearrangement. 2. The description of HLH in bone marrow is not provided. 3. No evidence that EBV was searched for in the biopsy and the blood. 4. DIC and HLH might be related to a concomitant infection

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80124

Title: Angioimmunoblastic T-Cell Lymphoma induced hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and disseminated intravascular coagulopathy: A case report and literature of review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05811870

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-18

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-24 09:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-25 18:05

Review time: 1 Day and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

Re-review	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? Exactly 2
Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript?
Yes 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript? Yes 4
Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status
and significance of the study? Yes 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods
(e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail?
Yes 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this
study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this
field? There has a specific demonstration for a very rare case as coexistence between
Angioimmunoblastic T-Cell Lymphoma and hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis. It
will have a value for literature. 7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the
findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and
logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a
clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's
scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? They made an
adequately literature presentation and clearly discussion. 8 Illustrations and tables. Are
the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of
the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends?
There has an enough presentation. 9 Biostatistics. Does the manuscript meet the
requirements of biostatistics? Not need biostatistics. 10 Units. Does the manuscript
meet the requirements of use of SI units? Yes 11 References. Does the manuscript cite



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? It is suitable and actual. 12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Is the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Is the style, language and grammar accurate and appropriate? Yes 13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3) PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. Did the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting? 1) CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report 14 Ethics statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and approved by their local ethical review committee. Did the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics? Yes

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80124

Title: Angioimmunoblastic T-Cell Lymphoma induced hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and disseminated intravascular coagulopathy: A case report and literature of review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06087386

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MDS

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Research Fellow

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-18

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-27 16:33

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-04 15:32

Review time: 7 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Kindly write according to journal criteria- abstract and case report subheadings should be revised 2. In abstract and case report- patient age mentioned 84 year and in table 2 -83 year- kindly check and correct 3. kindly quote references in table 2 regarding every case. 4. In discussion- AITL-associated HLH had a poor prognosis due to aggressive disease course, opportunistic infections compared with EBV-associated HLH[18].---- not in line with reference 18, kindly check.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80124

Title: Angioimmunoblastic T-Cell Lymphoma induced hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis and disseminated intravascular coagulopathy: A case report and literature of review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05632699

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Belgium

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-18

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-25 17:30

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-25 17:41

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I agree with reviewer 3. This paper should not be published as such. Diagnosis of AITL requires demonstration of TCR clonality. I would suggest that the authors go back to biopsy material and perform additional molecular analyses (TCR gamma chain rearrangement, next generation sequencing).