

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80481

Title: Reconstruction surgery in head and neck cancer patients amidst the COVID-19 pandemic: Current practice and lessons for the future

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04611317

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-29 06:04

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-09 08:35

Review time: 10 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The overall scientific matters were accepted. 2. The keywords no need to bold. 3. The references format is not correct. 4. The English grammar need to be polished.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80481

Title: Reconstruction surgery in head and neck cancer patients amidst the COVID-19 pandemic: Current practice and lessons for the future

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05462969

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-29

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-14 13:16

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-22 13:13

Review time: 7 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authour give uu thecurrent practice and lessons for the future of the reconstruction surgery in head and neck cancer patients amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. This is useful for the head neck surgery cilinical practice.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80481

Title: Reconstruction surgery in head and neck cancer patients amidst the COVID-19 pandemic: Current practice and lessons for the future

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05749114

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-29

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-14 16:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-23 11:06

Review time: 8 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well structured, original contribute focused on reconstruction surgery in oral cancer patients amidst the COVID-19 pandemic. Reassessment of the validity of different surgical approaches , such as locoregional flaps versus free flaps, is correctly analyzed paying attention to healthcare costs generated in a period of health emergency. Discussion is exhaustive and bibliography is adequate. In my opinion, the article is suitable for publication.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 80481

Title: Reconstruction surgery in head and neck cancer patients amidst the COVID-19 pandemic: Current practice and lessons for the future

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05467547

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Consultant Physician-Scientist, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Indonesia

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-29

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-15 00:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-23 12:31

Review time: 8 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection



Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. In the abstract mention about the "lack of coordination and versatility among politicians, policymakers and health leaders", however, I didn't found it in the body of the article. ? 2. Due to the specific aim of this study is to describe oral carcinoma and the surgical approaches, it is better to add the epidemiological data of the oral carcinoma in the introduction to expressed how important this article. 3. In the "Important of diagnosis flowchart", did you considered the diagnostic tools development that can quickly diagnosed the covid and non-covid patient? 4. It is better to added figure of the flowchart that you proposed in the "important of diagnosis flowchart"