

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 82156

Title: Analysis of differentially expressed genes related to cerebral ischaemia in young

rats based on the GEO database

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02441085

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-09 02:47

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-13 03:18

Review time: 4 Days

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish	
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection 	
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority)[] Accept (General priority)[Y] Minor revision[] Major revision[] Rejection	
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No	



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors should be preparing the manuscript according to the Guidelines for manuscript preparation, submission, and manuscript format; https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/218.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 82156

Title: Analysis of differentially expressed genes related to cerebral ischaemia in young

rats based on the GEO database

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03477847

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-24 15:20

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-01 13:52

Review time: 7 Days and 22 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	 [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors; Considering the integrity of the subject, it is seen that the article is well designed and can arouse curiosity. You can find some of my comments below Middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO), how was it created? What materials were used? How are brain tissues obtained? After how many days were the rats sacrificed? How and by what method was gene analysis obtained from tissues? What methods were used during gene analysis? Explanations of abbreviations are not included under the tables. Tables should be reviewed The article should be read carefully once again in order to detect the spelling mistakes in the article. In the tables, only the gene analyzes of the young rats are given, but while reading the article, the gene analyzes in the older rats are also curious. Therefore, I think that it would be more appropriate to jointly present gene analyzes of young and older rats. The flowchart is interrupted. The last parts are unreadable. Once again it needs to be placed correctly in the text.