

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78120

Title: Case report of successful multidisciplinary therapy for a patient with liver metastasis from ascending colon adenocarcinoma

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02534290

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-20

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-14 13:01

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-27 01:09

Review time: 12 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title correctly reflects the main subject of the manuscript. The manuscript adequately describes the background, present status and significance of the study and describes the methods used in adequate detail. I conclude that the research objectives are achieved by the experiments in this study. The manuscript interprets the finding adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically and the findings are stated in a clear and definite manner. The discussions are accurate and clear. The figures, diagrams and tables are sufficient and of good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents. The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. The style, language and grammar is accurate and appropriate. The authors prepared the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting. My assessment is that the overall quality of this article is excellent and should be accepted for publishing with high priority.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78120

Title: Case report of successful multidisciplinary therapy for a patient with liver metastasis from ascending colon adenocarcinoma

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02446694

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACC, FACP, FAHA, FESC, MD, PhD

Professional title: Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-20

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-07 22:18

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-13 21:17

Review time: 5 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority)[] Accept (General priority)[Y] Minor revision[] Major revision[] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

#1 In the discussion, the authors mentioned mFOLFOX6 and Endostar®, but I think it would be easier for readers to understand if the authors specifically describe how it works compared to other treatments. #2 Some of the CT photos, especially those of the colon, are difficult to understand. Please add a little cropping to make them easier to understand. I don't think it is necessary to use the same overall image. #3 I think the diagrams of pathology are also important, but I don't know which part of the pathology is shown in the illustrations. The authors should add arrows or a schema or something to make it easier to understand the illustration. Minor point #1 P9.L16-17. "in this study" should be corrected to "in the present case" or something like that.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78120

Title: Case report of successful multidisciplinary therapy for a patient with liver metastasis from ascending colon adenocarcinoma

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03479334

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-20

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-12 00:02

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-21 05:41

Review time: 9 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [Y] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity to review this case report. In this case, the authors reported multidisciplinary therapy for colorectal cancer with liver metastasis such as mFOLFOX6+Endostar, FOLFIRI+Endostar, TACE, and γ -knife. It is true that mFOLFOX6+Endostar and TACE, may achieve a pathologically complete response (pCR), but in fact, the liver metastases recurred 2 months after surgery, and FOLFIRI+Endostar was administered. This is not mentioned in the Abstract or Core Tip. While I appreciate the effort of the work, I think this case report does not reach the level of the World Journal of Clinical Cases.