

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80709

Title: Synchronous multiple primary malignant neoplasms in breast, kidney, and bilateral thyroid: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02684216

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Full Professor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-24

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-11 11:07

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-16 09:00

Review time: 4 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.This manuscript presents a descriptive article, based on the medical complications. Images of the IHC, present the descriptive and routine Information at protein level. In fact, exploration of specific protein expression is required to be unmasked in breast, kidney, and bilateral thyroid in future. 2.Figures 7,8, and 9: Neither title, nor figures' No., nor descriptive legends are included bellow of the provided images. 3. IHC is the routine and classic method for tracing the protein expression of the samples. " ER (+, medium intensity, about 80%), PR (+, medium-strong intensity, about 80%), CerbB-2 (1+), Ki67 (about 30%+)." The provided text for IHC requires to be revised for figures 7,8, and 9. " about 80% is inadequate, it is essential to provide the enumeration of the target cells (number of analyzed cells/ total cell count). 4-In fact, the broad scientific exploration will, definitely, unmask the molecular and biological hidden roots, by exploring the essential/ involved target proteins related to breast, kidney, and thyroid of this case. Sincerely Parvin Mehdipour

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80709

Title: Synchronous multiple primary malignant neoplasms in breast, kidney, and bilateral thyroid: a case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04732834

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Director, Full Professor, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-24

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-11 10:55

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-19 08:54

Review time: 7 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think it is a very interesting case and should be published. There are major English language problems that should be corrected before publication. Some parts are obvious word by word translations and are difficult to understand. The paper should be review for language.