



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81480

Title: Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma of the trachea treated with radiotherapy: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04135931

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-20 11:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-20 16:47

Review time: 5 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |



| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |
| | Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is a case report about a rare diagnosis and that has not well defined treatment algorithm. In this case with radiotherapy a complete response has been achieved and has a good followup period. and can be published with minor revisions. These are-

1. MALT abbreviation has been used for - Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) - mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue and marginal zone lymphoma (MALT) - extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) This abbreviation must be unique and must be given only in the first time.
2. BALT and CTVB abbreviations has to be given only in the first use and then must be written as abbreviation
3. As can be understood from Figure 5 D the case has been followed up for 3.5 years, but in the main manuscript we cannot find this knowledge. Please I offer to add this to the case presentation- outcome and follow-up section too.
4. In discussion "Theodore Girinsky, M.D., 10 patients were treated using small radiation doses (2*2 Gy) delivered exclusively to tumor sites. The median follow-up was 56 months. All patients are now alive with no local progression. The five-year progression-free survival rate was 87.5% (6 CR, 4 PR)." This part has no reference at the end. I think it is the 21. Ref and if so then the references must be replaced to a new order.
5. In Table 1. "Table 1. This is a table. Tables should be placed in the main text near to the first time they are cited. This sentence has to removed and a sentence that explains the table should be written. At the end of the table the abbrev. Like OS, PR, CR must be written in the long way. The last case in the table is the case of this manuscript and for the follow of part the follow up period and the final status of the patient must be clearly defined, instead of writing follow-up.
6. In the treatment section



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

the radiotherapy dose and fractionation has been given but the technique, machine, etc. must be given and this section must be detailed. This case report can be accepted with these minor revisions. It has a valuable effect to literature as the author mentioned that there is no standard treatment of this cases. A complete response and good follow up period is an important finding of this manuscript.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81480

Title: Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma of the trachea treated with radiotherapy: A case report and literature review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04825150

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-11

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-20 07:34

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-22 18:22

Review time: 2 Days and 10 Hours

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish |
| Language quality | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| Re-review | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous |
| | Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Removing unnecessary information in the introduction and explaining the physical examination findings in more detail in the case section are my humble suggestions.