

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 82177

Title: Treatment of Breast Abscess in Lactation Period with Gualou Xiaoyong Decoction

and Painless Lactation Manipulation: A Case Report and Literature Review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 01551432

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-15 05:45

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-18 00:40

Review time: 2 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Thank you for submitting your manuscript entitled, "Treatment of Breast Abscess in Lactation Period with Gualou Xiaoyong Decoction Manuplation:A Case Report and Reterature Review" in WJCC. The manuscript is well written and the topic is very interesting. Furthermore, the treatment of this case report has high originality as a treatment method and is very unique. However, many criticisms should be addressed as the followings. 1. No echo or CT images. Please add the figures and figure regents. 2. List and summarize the characteristics of other similar cases and add a new table explaining their cases. 3. The description of the course of treatment in this case and changes in images before and after treatment is too long. Please shorten them.

4. Is this the only one case of combined therapy, Gualou Xiaoyong Decoction with breast massage for breast abscess? If so, this treatment cannot be said to be effective. Especially if you worry about the effectiveness of antibiotics. Please dispute this matter. 5. If there are other effective herbal remedies besides guaro decoction, please list them and add a new description. Add a new table summarizing them. 6. It is described that as a result of isolated culture and drug susceptibility testing from breast abscesses, these bacteria were found to be resistant to penicillin and cephalosporin antibiotics. Please confirm whether this is the culture result before administration of antibiotics or the result after administration. 7. The following article states that percutaneous drainage is effective. I think that Chinese medicine and breast massage lack scientific evidence. Please add a sufficient counter-argument for this published manuscript. This is very important. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19(9):5762–8. Why is infused tea effective? There is a description about the reason, but it lacks scientific basis. Please provide any other



scientific analysis or experimental results demonstrating the efficacy of the infusion. 9. Regarding Table 2: The isolated bacterium is Staphylococcus epidermidis. Is it resistant to antibiotics? For example, please describe penicillin resistance or methicillin resistance. 10. Table 1. and Table 2.; Are their really necessary? I think it's not necessary, because the information they provides is very small. Please delete them.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 82177

Title: Treatment of Breast Abscess in Lactation Period with Gualou Xiaoyong Decoction

and Painless Lactation Manipulation: A Case Report and Literature Review

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06474705

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-16 03:50

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-18 12:35

Review time: 2 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.The bacteria in the milk were always cultured (Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis alternated), there is no record about the infant after eating the contaminated milk. 2.The treatment course last from August 31 to October 17, I don't think it's a short course



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases Manuscript NO: 82177 Title: Treatment of Breast Abscess in Lactation Period with Gualou Xiaoyong Decoction and Painless Lactation Manipulation: A Case Report and Literature Review Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed Peer-review model: Single blind **Reviewer's code:** 01551432 **Position:** Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD Professional title: Doctor Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan Author's Country/Territory: China Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-08 Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-10 11:47 Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-11 11:07 Review time: 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	 [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	 [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous





statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, Thank you for submiting your rivised manuscript. The manuscript is properly rivised accordingly. It is OK as it stands. Sincerely,