

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 83103

**Title:** Hyperlactemia associated with secondary hepatocellular carcinoma resection in relation to circulation stability and quality of recovery: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06395944

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-01-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-01-08 06:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-01-10 07:53

**Review time:** 2 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is interesting that the manuscript entitled "Hyperlactemia associated with secondary hepatocellular carcinoma resection did not affect circulation stability and quality of recovery: A case report" reported describe a case of hyperlactaemia during postoperative resection of liver metastases following chemotherapy for sigmoid cancer, but without affecting circulatory stability or quality of recovery. The paper is well structured. However, the paper is too lengthy, and the written English needs improving. Rigorous revision of article is indispensable. My comments are below: 1. Language: Written English needs improving. The manuscript can be reviewed by any native English speaker. 2. Abstract This section is lengthy, the content of the conclusion in this part can be halved. 3. Case presentation 3.1 This part is too lengthy, please simplify, the timeline of case presentation can be summarized on a table. 3.2 Correct "His" to "Her" on the second line of the physical examination section. 3.3 The follow-up time is short, the patient needs to be followed up during post-discharge. 3.4 Images were lacking, please add the abdominal CT and pathological images. 4 Discussion 4.1 This part is too lengthy, please simplify. The narrative of the background is too lengthy, there is no need

to introduce the metabolic pathway of lactic acid in cells and the mechanism of liver lactic acid metabolism. 4.2 Please make the literature review on the causes and corresponding treatments and prognoses of hyperlactemia associated with secondary hepatocellular carcinoma resection, and summarize them on a table. 4.3 Limitation and future scope are missing. 5 References There are relatively few references. Please add the references.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 83103

**Title:** Hyperlactemia associated with secondary hepatocellular carcinoma resection in relation to circulation stability and quality of recovery: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05937294

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Research Fellow

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Iran

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-01-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-01-14 02:31

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-01-26 15:56

**Review time:** 12 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the authors present a case of secondary hepatocellular carcinoma; the circulation stability and recovery quality of the patient were not affected by severe hyperlactemia in the convalescence period. 1. During the conclusion part of abstract you have repeated your case again. you should directly point to the conclusion. 2. The authors should explain how their findings make a difference to the readers of the World Journal of Clinical Cases? 3. It is better introduce your suggested management as a flow char or graph

## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 83103

**Title:** Hyperlactemia associated with secondary hepatocellular carcinoma resection in relation to circulation stability and quality of recovery: A case report

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06395944

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-01-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-02-07 14:49

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-02-08 13:08

**Review time:** 22 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The manuscript entitled "Hyperlactemia associated with secondary hepatocellular carcinoma resection in relation to circulation stability and quality of recovery: A case report" reported a case of hyperlactemia during postoperative resection of liver metastases following chemotherapy for sigmoid cancer, which did not affect circulatory stability or quality of recovery. The paper is well structured. The authors had well completed the "Answering reviewers". I find the article potentially acceptable for publication after minor revision, my comment is below: 1. The revised manuscript includes both Chinese and English, I guess the author may have uploaded the wrong file.