

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81399

Title: Children with infectious pneumonia caused by *Ralstonia insidiosa*: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06292203

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-10 14:35

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-10 14:59

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a case report about a *Ralstonia* infection in a 2-year-old child and successfully treated with antibiotics. However, there are some points needed to be improved to qualify this journal: 1. The timeline is a little bit confusing, the date should be written in the same form. 2. I am wondering what is the connection between the image (chest CT) finding in 2019-03 while the children was treated in 2019-02? 3. The special presentation of *Ralstonia* infection or the difference between *Ralstonia* pneumonia and other GNB pneumonia could be highlighted. 4. The explanation of the differential counts, such as WBC, NEU, LYM... should be mentioned in the first time they appeared. 5. Is there any suspicious infection source about this child?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 81399

Title: Children with infectious pneumonia caused by *Ralstonia insidiosa*: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06420831

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: N/A

Professional title: N/A

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Sri Lanka

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-15 05:08

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-20 04:00

Review time: 4 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. What were the results of the blood culture? 2. suggest including the detection of any residual damage in outcome sections 3. The normal values shall be given in the result section; suggest presenting in a table with three columns for easy reference parameter / observed value / normal range 4. Have you performed the blood cultures? If so what were the results discussed on? negative blood cultures. 5. Please elaborate on whether your decision to perform bronchoscopy was aligned with or deviated from the current guidelines on bronchoscopy in paediatric pneumonia What are your suggestions on contact tracing, discharge plans, immune-compromised state and prophylaxis? 6. There is no evidence that permission from the parents has been obtained. There must be a statement disclosing that obtaining permission to publish CT imaging and the case report