

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 89864

**Title:** Indication and surgical approach for reconstruction with endoprosthesis in bone associated soft tissue sarcomas: appropriate case management is vital

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06348941

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Mexico

**Author's Country/Territory:** Germany

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-11-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-12-15 11:21

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-12-18 11:54

**Review time:** 3 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The subject of the presented article is highly relevant to the field of orthopedic oncology and addresses a critical aspect of the treatment of complex sarcomas. The focus on endoprosthesis reconstruction provides a unique perspective in this area of surgical oncology. The article is well-structured, with a clear abstract, well-defined sections, and a concise conclusion. The language is clear and professional, appropriate for an academic audience. I recommend considering the following comments: 1. The manuscript presents detailed information on various aspects of soft tissue sarcomas and their treatment. However, the inclusion of case studies or data to support these discussions would enhance the analytical depth of the manuscript. 2. The article could benefit from a more explicit discussion of the limitations of current research and the challenges in applying these surgical approaches in various clinical settings. 3. To improve the manuscript, it would be valuable to include information on potential future research directions related to highlighting any potential limitations of the current knowledge in this field.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 89864

**Title:** Indication and surgical approach for reconstruction with endoprosthesis in bone associated soft tissue sarcomas: appropriate case management is vital

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05428346

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MSc

**Professional title:** Academic Research

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** Germany

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-11-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** Yu-Lu Chen

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-12-20 13:37

**Reviewer performed review:** 2024-01-02 10:47

**Review time:** 12 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good topic to discuss and important for oncology scholars. Despite that, the manuscript lacks some information as mentioning the indications and differences between prostheses in bone-related soft tissue sarcomas and classical prosthesis applications due to bone sarcomas. Mentioning real cases and their prognosis. I can suggest also a flow chart that sums up all the mentioned conditions to ease the reading and prevent confusion. Revising the article's English language structure, decreasing sentence length, and rebuilding the paragraphs in a more convenient way for better understanding. Finally updating the old references used with a newer one.