

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 89488

Title: Clinical Efficacy and Mechanism Study of Mid-Frequency Anti-Snoring Device in Treating Moderate Obstructive Sleep Apnea-Hypopnea Syndrome

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05824612

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD, PsyD

Professional title: Adjunct Professor, Assistant Lecturer, Reader in Health Technology Assessment, Research Assistant, Research Assistant Professor, Research Associate, Research Fellow, Research Scientist, Researcher, Science Editor, Statistician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-02

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-30 13:32

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-30 14:13

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic is fascinating, but a thorough restructuring of the content is necessary. I kindly request the esteemed author to revise the entire article with a deeper and more coherent perspective, taking into consideration the suggested changes. Afterward, please resubmit it for further review. I believe this feedback will be valuable in improving the quality of your work. Best regards.