

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 89589

Title: Significant Risk Factors for Intensive Care Unit-Acquired Weakness: A Processing Strategy Based on Repeated Machine Learning

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02489089

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: BSc, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Nurse, Teacher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Austria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-06

Reviewer chosen by: Huo Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-28 11:53

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-05 19:42

Review time: 8 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors! At first I am very happy getting the chance to review your manuscript. Here is my evaluation: The title reflects the main subjectthesis of the manuscript. The abstract summarize and reflects the work described in the manuscript, the key words reflects the focus of your manuscript and it also adequately describes the background, present status and significance of your study. The manuscript describes methods in an appropriate way. Research objectives are achieved by the experiments used in this study. The manuscript interprets the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically. Findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature are stated in a clear and definite manner. Discussion is in total accurate and discusses the paper's scientific significance and relevance to clinical practice sufficiently. Tables are sufficient, in good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents. The manuscript cites appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections. The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. Style, language and grammar is accurate and appropriate. Authors prepared the manuscript according to the appropriate research



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: office@baishideng.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

methods and reporting. Best regards! Your reviewer