

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 90684

**Title:** Immunoglobulin A glomerulonephropathy: A review

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 04382109

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor, Doctor, Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-12-11

**Reviewer chosen by:** Huo Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2024-01-17 09:28

**Reviewer performed review:** 2024-01-17 19:49

**Review time:** 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The editorial about IgA nephritis is quite simple and consists of superficial information. So the title is pretentious with the phrase "comprehensive" . You should better the title. Gender distribution can differ among countries. Some studies stated the male predominance. please rephrase this part. Your comments about the manuscript by Meng MJ et al. should be better placed at the end of the "therapy" part or in a separate part. In the therapy section the sentence "At three-year follow-up, patients in the immunosuppression group had higher rates of clinical. " is missing I think. Does the sentence end with "clinical" phrase? It is not understandable. When you critising the biopsy quality what is the number of the glomerules in the biopsies of the study? "(the quantity of glomeruli obtained was  $\geq$  )

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 90684

**Title:** Immunoglobulin A glomerulonephropathy: A review

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 00503179

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Doctor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Denmark

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-12-11

**Reviewer chosen by:** Huo Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2024-01-17 11:50

**Reviewer performed review:** 2024-01-18 12:14

**Review time:** 1 Day

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A review without new information. The paper is well written and easy to read, and it includes information from the most important clinical trials. A few points need to be dealt with: 1. No reference is given to the paper Meng MJ, published in World Journal of Clinical Cases. 2. Under the headline: 'Immunosuppressive therapy, second paragraph, line 10, the sentence ends abruptly with 'clinical'. Something is missing.