



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 90227

**Title:** Effect of comprehensive nursing on the quality of life and swallowing function in individuals diagnosed with ischaemic stroke

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer’s code:** 05291028

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer’s Country/Territory:** Spain

**Author’s Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-11-27

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jia-Ru Fan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2024-01-05 15:02

**Reviewer performed review:** 2024-01-08 10:14

**Review time:** 2 Days and 19 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors present the results of a single-center clinical study aimed at exploring the role of comprehensive nursing care on quality of life and swallowing function in patients diagnosed with ischemic stroke. A total of 172 patients were included in the study. The authors found that comprehensive nursing care could effectively improve swallowing function, quality of life, and patient satisfaction with nursing care, which has value in clinical application. The study is potentially interesting, but for a better presentation of the data, the following issues need to be clarified: 1. Typographical errors in the title should be corrected (“strock”) 2. It would be interesting to know the frequency of the different ischemic stroke subtypes in the study population. 3. It is recommended that the authors begin the Discussion by assessing the most relevant data from their study. 4. The authors should comment that a future line of research on the topic discussed would be the effect of comprehensive nursing on quality of life and swallowing function in lacunar versus non lacunar infarcts. This recommendation is because the pathophysiology, prognosis, and clinical features of lacunar strokes are different from other acute cerebrovascular diseases (see and add this reference;



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** office@baishideng.com  
**https://www.wjgnet.com**

Neuroepidemiology 2010;35:231-6). Did the authors consider this in their study protocol?  
5. A brief final comment on other possible lines of future research on the presented topic  
would be appreciated.