

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 91473

Title: Clinical manifestations and prenatal diagnosis of trisomy 7 mosaicism: two case reports

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03967085

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Academic Editor, Assistant Professor, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Bulgaria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-29

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-30 11:31

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-30 11:38

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title is informative and relevant. The references are relevant and recent. The cited sources are referenced correctly. Appropriate and key studies are included. The research question is clearly outlined. The case is well-described, the used methods methods for diagnosing and therapy are valid and reliable. The patient data is presented in an appropriate way. The illustrative materials are relevant and clearly presented. Data is discussed from different angles and placed into context without being overinterpreted. The conclusions are supported by references and own results. This paper added to what is already in the topic. The article is consistent within itself. Specific comments on weaknesses of the article and what could be improved: Major points - none Minor points

1. The introduction should be- enlarged.
2. The authors should make recommendations for the clinical practice.