PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 89087

Title: Low-molecular-weight heparin in patients with preeclampsia — does the sword cut both ways?

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05527087

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Associate Research Scientist, Doctor, Lecturer, Research Associate, Research Scientist, Researcher, Science Editor, Senior Editor, Senior Researcher, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Morocco

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-20

Reviewer chosen by: Lin Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-16 12:46

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-24 11:03

Review time: 7 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty

Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[ ] Yes [Y] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, greetings, with interest i read your paper on a fundamental topic demonstating Low-molecular-weight heparin in patients with preeclampsia. The introduction was well writen, methods well described, results well presented and the conclusion supported the aim of the study. However, some old references must be updated.