

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 90431

Title: EUS-guided tissue sampling induced pancreatic duct leak resolved by the placement of a pancreatic stent: A case report.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00227505

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-04

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-19 22:20

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-20 00:14

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled " EUS-guided tissue sampling induced pancreatic duct leak resolved by the placement of a pancreatic stent: A case report." has been reviewed. This article is about complications of pancreatitis associated with EUS. The English is hard to read. Can the authors give a figure for the healing process after stent placement? How many days after the stent was the chemotherapy started? The quality of the echo images needs to be improved.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 90431

Title: EUS-guided tissue sampling induced pancreatic duct leak resolved by the placement of a pancreatic stent: A case report.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03731871

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: South Korea

Manuscript submission date: 2023-12-04

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-20 13:17

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-01 07:34

Review time: 11 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The symptoms of Pancreatic ductal leaks complicated by EUS-TS can vary from mild abdominal pain to severe disease which can result in ICU admission or even death, timely management of fistula is very important to alleviate the pain and subsequent treatment of patients. Nowadays however, endoscopic treatment is being increasingly considered and employed in many cases. The manuscript includes two parts of relatively strong content: First, the pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma was diagnosed and confirmed by EUS-TS using the fanning method; Second, After EUS-TS, ERCP revealed dye leakage in the head of the main duct in the pancreas. Therefore, a 5F 7cm linear plastic stent was deployed into the pancreatic duct to divert the pancreatic juice. The treatment method for the patient was successful. So, The description of the content in this manuscript is clear and logical. Title: OK, it reflect the main subject of the manuscript. Abstract: the contents were consistent with the content of text; Key words: OK; Backgrounds: what is unique about this case? and what does it add to the medical literature? The authors did not introduce it in the introduction. Case report: The authors well described the patient information, clinical findings, diagnostic assessment,

therapeutic intervention, and follow-up and outcomes in this part; Discussion: Can the authors discuss how to avoid pancreatic duct injury as much as possible when using the fanning method during EUS-TS; References:OK,no comments; Firgurs: OK,no comments.