

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 91127

Title: Exogenous insulin autoimmune syndrome: A rare case report and review on literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07734331

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iraq

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-01-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-25 22:41

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-25 23:17

Review time: 1 Hour

| | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Scientific quality | Good |
| | [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | []Grade A: Excellent [Y]Grade B: Good []Grade C: Fair []Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair |
| this manuscript | [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |



| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
|--|---|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | []Yes [Y]No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The analytical results are really difficult to understand, this should be clarified. The authors need to add new references, and they used few references. The manuscript needs to re arranged.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 91127

Title: Exogenous insulin autoimmune syndrome: A rare case report and review on literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02627243

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Poland

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2024-01-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2024-01-27 22:09

Reviewer performed review: 2024-01-29 10:56

Review time: 1 Day and 12 Hours

| | [] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: |
|---|--|
| Scientific quality | Good |
| | [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
| Novelty of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty |
| Creativity or innovation of this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation |
| | |



| Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance |
|--|---|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y]Yes []No |
| Peer-reviewer statements | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title reflects the main subject of the manuscript. 2 Abstract summarizes and reflects content of the manuscript 3 Key Words also reflect the focus of the case report 4 Background. There is no background in the manuscript. But I think it should be - I mean about short introduction consisted of 2-4 sentences (like in the beginning of abstract) with some 1-3 references (eg. Lin M, Chen Y, Ning J. Insulin Autoimmune Syndrome: A Systematic Review. Int J Endocrinol. 2023;2023:1225676. doi:10.1155/2023/1225676). 5 Methods. It doesn't require methods - it is a case report. 6 Results. The results are described clearly 7 Discussion conducted correctly, the case was discussed in relation to similar descriptions in the literature to the literature, thus the discussion is accurate and it discusses the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently. 8 Illustrations and tables. Not applicable 9 Biostatistics. Not applicable 10 The manuscript meet the requirements of use of SI units. 11 References are appropriate. There are a little bit more papers in the field (eg. Cappellani D, Macchia E, Falorni A, Marchetti P. Insulin Autoimmune Syndrome (Hirata Disease): A Comprehensive Review Fifty Years After Its First Description. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2020;13:963-978.; Han



CY, Ye XM, Lu JP, et al. Exogenous Insulin Antibody Syndrome in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes. 2023;16:1895-1902.; Wammer ACP, Nermoen I, Medbøe Thorsby P, et al. Insulin autoimmune syndrome: not just one but two different diseases with therapeutic implications. Endocrinol Diabetes Metab Case Rep. 2023;2023(4):23-0032.; Li Z, Yi D, Zheng L, Li S, Fang W, Wang C. Analysis of the clinical characteristics of insulin autoimmune syndrome induced by exogenous insulin in diabetic patients. Diabetol Metab Syndr. 2021;13(1):38.), some of them are highly relevant, they were recently published – it can be cited also, but in such case report it is not necessary to cite all publications in the field. 12 At this point I have a remark - the manuscript is written a little bit carelessly, the style, language and grammar require corrections. Apart of that it is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. 13 Authors prepared their manuscripts according to BPG's standards for manuscript type and the appropriate topically-relevant category: STROBE Statement - Case Control study. 14 Manuscript meet the requirements of ethics.