

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11487

**Title:** Haemostatic management for aortic valve replacement in a patient with advanced liver disease

**Reviewer code:** 02523916

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-05-24 22:14

**Date reviewed:** 2014-05-26 19:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well presented and concisely written. There are some typos in manuscript. It may be better if authors check the manuscript out one more time for typos.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 11487

**Title:** Haemostatic management for aortic valve replacement in a patient with advanced liver disease

**Reviewer code:** 00227341

**Science editor:** Ling-Ling Wen

**Date sent for review:** 2014-05-24 22:14

**Date reviewed:** 2014-06-01 17:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

### COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Weinberg L et Al. present an interesting and complex case report of a patient with critical aortic stenosis and advanced liver disease who underwent redo-sternotomy and AVR prior to being listed for orthotopic liver transplantation. I suggest to add some information: a) The Child-Turcotte-Pugh class and/or MELD score of this patient b) In the discussion it would be useful to add data of mortality and most common post-operative complications reported in literature. Some references: 1. Morimoto N, Okada K, Okita Y. Results of cardiac surgery in advanced liver cirrhosis. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2013 Feb;61(2):79-83. doi: 10.1007/s11748-012-0175-3. Epub 2012 Nov 1. PubMed PMID: 23115002.; 2. Filsoufi F, Salzberg SP, Rahmanian PB, Schiano TD, Elsiey H, Squire A, Adams DH. Early and late outcome of cardiac surgery in patients with liver cirrhosis. Liver Transpl. 2007 Jul;13(7):990-5. PubMed PMID: 17427174.