

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12147

Title: SIMULTANEOUS VERSUS STAGED TREATMENT OF UROLITHIASIS IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING RADICAL PROSTATECTOMY

Reviewer code: 02456377

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-27 09:12

Date reviewed: 2014-08-18 08:31

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present study by Amy E. Krambeck is investigated the differences in perioperative and long-term outcomes of patients, which treated for urolithiasis at the time of radical prostatectomy (simultaneous) in the preoperative period (staged). The results showed that the simultaneous ureteroscopic treatment of symptomatic urolithiasis appeared to be safe and efficacious with radical prostatectomy. In general, the work was interesting, except several issues to be addressed to increase the quality of the present work. 1. Some abbreviations in the paper should make clearly, such as 'RP', 'IQR', 'URS', 'SWL', please go through the full text. 2. The authors do not state how follow up was carried out, how often and how postoperative patients were investigated in the method section. 3. The authors should supplement a clinicopathological parameter about patients' years. 4. I think that statistical methods achieving p values in all tables should be marked to make them more understanding.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12147

Title: SIMULTANEOUS VERSUS STAGED TREATMENT OF UROLITHIASIS IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING RADICAL PROSTATECTOMY

Reviewer code: 00742221

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-27 09:12

Date reviewed: 2014-08-18 15:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

very well organize manuscript

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12147

Title: SIMULTANEOUS VERSUS STAGED TREATMENT OF UROLITHIASIS IN PATIENTS UNDERGOING RADICAL PROSTATECTOMY

Reviewer code: 00467700

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-06-27 09:12

Date reviewed: 2014-08-04 22:53

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Innovative "experience" among the current trends of research in urology, analyzing for the first time two of the most frequently treated diseases in urology, but never simultaneously. The manuscript is complete and exhaustive in all its parts. Statistical studies have been conducted properly, presenting consistent data. It represents only a short and initial series considering the limited population studied; the importance of this study appears to be only anecdotal but, obviously, it would be interesting to increase prospectively the series.