



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 10499

Title: Acute abdomen in pregnancy due to isolated Fallopian tube torsion: the laparoscopic treatment of a rare case

Reviewer code: 02544377

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-04-04 08:30

Date reviewed: 2014-04-06 11:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper is well written and it deserves to be published. The followings are my recommendation for publication with minor revision. 1) Paragraphing of Introduction and Discussion should be arranged in two or three. 2) Did the authors observed the appendix at the laparoscopic exploration? Were there any findings?

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 10499

Title: Acute abdomen in pregnancy due to isolated Fallopian tube torsion: the laparoscopic treatment of a rare case

Reviewer code: 00505481

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-04-04 08:30

Date reviewed: 2014-04-06 16:15

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for the opportunity to review the paper by Sidiropoulou about a laparoscopic approach to an acute abdomen caused by a Falloppian tube torsion in a gravida. A well done case report, and interesting one. Although a case report, this is another confirmation that laparoscopy is first of all a diagnostic tool which might became a therapeutic one, in experienced hands I case like the one they write about . For this reason I would only add in the paper the laparoscopic experience of the Authors just to better underline that what they have performed is mainly due to a god familiarization with the approach.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 10499

Title: Acute abdomen in pregnancy due to isolated Fallopian tube torsion: the laparoscopic treatment of a rare case

Reviewer code: 00504558

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-04-04 08:30

Date reviewed: 2014-04-11 17:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

To the authors, In the abstract the authors say "the most common indications of laparoscopy in pregnancy are cholelithiasis...". In relation to gallstones, the indications for laparoscopy in pregnancy are always the complications of cholelithiasis, not the cholelithiasis per-se. In the case report the authors use the terms "Hassan trocar" and "trocares". The most used term in the surgical literature (avoiding to entry in conflict with the correct nomenclature of Hassan/Hasson), is Hasson trocar and trocars for the plural form. The references must be writed in the same format in all cases (some mistakes in 15th and 16th). Related to the figures: Figure 1 must add an arrow to indicate the right adnexa. Figure 2 is not the most appropriate one that you can get about this patient. The scars are open, the skin with erythema,... can't you get a better image of the abdomen?.