

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12690

Title: LARGE BOWEL INJURIES DURING GYNECOLOGIC LAPAROSCOPY

Reviewer code: 00505481

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-07-22 15:30

Date reviewed: 2014-07-22 17:43

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for the opportunity to review the paper about LARGE BOWEL INJURIES DURING GYNECOLOGIC LAPAROSCOPY. An interesting paper about laparoscopy, gynaecology and complications. Interesting, but I have several concerns about. First of all: it seems to me more a thesis rather than a manuscript for a journal, especially if this medical journal is dedicated mainly to clinical cases. I would have expected to read a paper about some unusual cases, not just a sort of literature review about the topic. There are already several manuscript about it. According to my opinion, this paper doesn't add nothing new to my daily practice.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12690

Title: LARGE BOWEL INJURIES DURING GYNECOLOGIC LAPAROSCOPY

Reviewer code: 00742249

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-07-22 15:30

Date reviewed: 2014-07-24 18:55

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments: Though laparoscopy is one of the most frequently preferred options in gynecological surgery, laparoscopic operation has unique risks related to abdominal access methods, pneumoperitoneum, and electrosurgical energy. The authors here review the large bowel injury occurred in gynecologic laparoscopy and explain the diagnostic methods and management. Because this manuscript is well written and provides useful information to the clinicians in this field, this manuscript is acceptable for publication in World Journal of Clinical Cases. (minor points) 1. In the whole manuscript, all misnomers should be corrected. That is all.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12690

Title: LARGE BOWEL INJURIES DURING GYNECOLOGIC LAPAROSCOPY

Reviewer code: 01076129

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-07-22 15:30

Date reviewed: 2014-08-03 19:29

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper gives an overview of intestinal complications in gynecological laparoscopic surgery. Although most of the experience from severe complications after laparoscopic surgery are assembled from the time when the technique was being introduced in daily routine, the literature is still relevant today. The paper would be improved by language editing.