

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8901

Title: Interspinous Posterior Devices IPD:

Reviewer code: 00724250

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-14 08:12

Date reviewed: 2014-01-24 22:50

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

this subject is controversial in the literature, the author make good analyses of the long list of references but some modifications seems me to be important to do: 1- selection of patients is the priority of surgical indications. (Age, sex, symptoms)which patients must be treated? who's one the technique going to fail 2- the mechanism of action? physiological process and IFD surgical technique are clearly descipted in the manuscript but its will be better and interesting to put some pictures of implants (surgical view, diagram, and material used) 3-How IFD can be benefits in spondylolisthesis? no fixation osteosynthesis 4- what's the contre indications for IFD? 5- Poor outcome of IFD in the litterature, is consequence of the technique of implants or selection of patient? 6- The author have experience in IFD, whats your results and follow up with outcome? 7- we cant say or affirm the efficiency o IFD without comparison of results of surgery (Laminectomy, decompression cauda equina) and IFD. 8- some grammaticals faults 9- A lot of references, isn't it? 10- table showing advantages and inconveniences of IFD 11- why choose IFD for treatment degenerative pathological diseases? whats alternative of IFD. lecteurs must to know what's the message from author in the conclusion?

ESPS Peer-review Report

Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8901

Title: Interspinous Posterior Devices IPD:

Reviewer code: 00503838

Science editor: Ling-Ling Wen

Date sent for review: 2014-01-14 08:12

Date reviewed: 2014-02-12 18:35

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A (Excellent)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority Publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B (Very good)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C (Good)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: a great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D (Fair)		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E (Poor)	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Author described that IPD had not any clinical indication at the moment. But authors quote too much references, and therefore, authors should delete more sentences and select references carefully.