

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12294

**Title:** Giant Xanthogranuloma of the Pelvis with S1 origin: Complete Removal with only Posterior Approach. Technical Note

**Reviewer code:** 00505679

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-01 11:40

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-04 04:33

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The abstract must be rewritten because it is more an introduction that summarizes the work. 2. Should be clarified abbreviation (JXG-juvenile giant xanthogranuloma). 3. Would be of great importance to readers postoperative care of the patient detailing. 4. The last sentence of the section "Pathology" is a typographical error .... (figure ...). 5. Where the electrodes are placed? 6. The last sentence of the conclusions is not the result of this work and should be removed.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 12294

**Title:** Giant Xanthogranuloma of the Pelvis with S1 origin: Complete Removal with only Posterior Approach. Technical Note

**Reviewer code:** 00468558

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2014-07-01 11:40

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-09 01:25

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper describes a rare (probably unique) case of symptomatic giant xanthogranulomas of the pelvis in a 40 year old male. Authors explain clearly why they decided to manage surgically the lesion. Excision was successfully performed through a posterior route to avoid damages to the surrounding neurovascular structures. Symptoms relief was immediate and preserved one year after surgery. The paper is really interesting and needs only a minor language revision before publication.