

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12806

Title: Upper aerodigestive tract disorders and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease

Reviewer code: 01408945

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-07-27 22:57

Date reviewed: 2014-08-25 21:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Manuscript Number: 12806 Manuscript Title: Upper aerodigestive tract disorders and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease Corresponding Author: Dr. Ciorba A et al. This is a review manuscript about GORD, and is well written. The reviewer's critiques are as follows. Major criticism: Nothing Minor criticism: 1. Abbreviation must be cited when they appeared at the first time. 2. There are many font error by using red font.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12806

Title: Upper aerodigestive tract disorders and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease

Reviewer code: 01488602

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-07-27 22:57

Date reviewed: 2014-08-20 15:01

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A narrative review on a well-known topic. The paper should be reorganized in order to respond to some issues of the topic according to EBM rules and recommendations. The term aerodigestive should be avoided or better defined (asthma is also mentioned. Methodology incompletely described and results of th search not detailed.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12806

Title: Upper aerodigestive tract disorders and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease

Reviewer code: 01436291

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-07-27 22:57

Date reviewed: 2014-08-21 15:38

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have one complaint, which concerns the core tip section: the authors repeat the signs and symptoms associated with reflux and respiratory and the purpose of their review of the literature. They should instead report the conclusions, point by point, of their work. For example, with regard to the administration of PPI as the initial stage of the treatment of respiratory symptoms associated with GORD, the evidence in the literature to support the treatment with PPI in the absence of the typical symptoms of reflux (heartburn and regurgitation) are scanty; and so on.