

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12941

Title: A review and update on the molecular basis of Leber congenital amaurosis

Reviewer code: 00504910

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-07-29 17:40

Date reviewed: 2014-08-11 04:51

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> [] Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> [] No records	<input type="checkbox"/> [] Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title is adequate to the review and gives to the reader a punctual idea of the major contents of the manuscript. The abstract provides a clear delineation of what will be written in the review and explaining what are the clinical manifestation of the pathology correlated to different genotypes. The genetic-molecular section is detailed and well worked out, even if should be more detailed on the different prognostic and therapeutic possibilities for every mutation. References are appropriate, relevant, and updated. The table ordered by genes mutation is complete and synthetically describes all the different features of LCA dystrophies. However, numerous language mistakes are spread all over the review and, thus, its English language should be accurately corrected throughout the entire paper; just for example: - In the abstract << through first year of life in this group the → of patients>>. - End of quotation marks on << "global severe retinal dystrophy"[37]>> - Some commas that make the text clearer - In section 4. << For this reason it is important to search features>> - In section 5.1 << almost 60% de → of new LCA patients >>. ... and so on. Lastly, the meaning of some paragraphs is not so clear and, thus, they should be rewritten; e.g. << Night blindness is present in majority of affected, but in a minority where a decrease of central vision and photophobia dominated. >>