

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12898

Title: The role of third molars in orthodontics

Reviewer code: 00742422

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-07-30 10:59

Date reviewed: 2014-09-08 08:48

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In the Abstract should be include some description and conclusion of this paper. The Discussion should be better explained.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12898

Title: The role of third molars in orthodontics

Reviewer code: 00567523

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-07-30 10:59

Date reviewed: 2014-09-10 19:00

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

THIS IS A CONCISE REVIEW DISCUSSION SHOULD BE FOLLOWED BY CONCLUSION.

ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 12898

Title: The role of third molars in orthodontics

Reviewer code: 00742480

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-07-30 10:59

Date reviewed: 2014-09-12 05:27

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The role of third molar in orthodontics This is a review article focusing on a very important and controversial topic in orthodontics, the third molar. The review was well arranged by addressing the four areas in orthodontics relative to the eruption and impaction of the 3dr molar, growth and development, treatment approaches, treatment complications, and orthognathic surgery. However, several moderate concerns raised by this reviewer are as follows: 1. The methods for literature search and the quality assessment of selected literature should be included in the article. 2. The selected literature seems to be less updated. Of 82 cited references, only 4 were published during this century, and the rest were all from the last century. This is a t concern about if this review provides most recent scientific evidences in the topic to the readers. For instance, a review paper with the same topic recently published (Zawawi & Melis, 4/30/2014) at The Scientific World Journal was not included. 3. The last paragraph of the first section (influencing factors) should be combined in the next section of treatment approach, as the description of this paragraph is about active treatment.