

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13386

**Title:** A case report of a rare upper gastrointestinal bleeding

**Reviewer code:** 02911840

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-21 11:10

**Date reviewed:** 2014-09-10 01:23

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting manuscript reporting a rare case of upper gastrointestinal bleeding as a result of long-term achalasia associated with esophageal stones. I have the following points to make:

1. Is there any evidence that this patient ingested excessive amounts of tannins? This needs to be put into context
2. The abbreviations for the blood parameters are redundant.
3. How did the physical examination revealed that the patient had severe anemia- or was this shown by the blood test?
4. Although it can effect patients of all ages,it commonly presents between 25 and 60 years of age
5. The authors should obtain assistance in polishing the English used.

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13386

**Title:** A case report of a rare upper gastrointestinal bleeding

**Reviewer code:** 02445717

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-21 11:10

**Date reviewed:** 2014-10-23 23:36

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good case report of a rare upper gastrointestinal bleeding. It describes the case as an acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding caused by Mallory-Weiss syndrome associated with achalasia and esophageal stone. The case description is based in image of chest computed tomography and upper endoscopy that shown the esophageal significant expanded with dark round stones and multiple ulcers on the esophageal wall. Was started endoscopic procedure to control of the bleeding and dissolve stone. Minor point Should be clarified in the text if it is a stone or stones (in the Figure 3 there is only stone)

## ESPS PEER REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 13386

**Title:** A case report of a rare upper gastrointestinal bleeding

**Reviewer code:** 02926199

**Science editor:** Xue-Mei Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2014-08-21 11:10

**Date reviewed:** 2014-08-21 15:03

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Existing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No records	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript can be considerably shortened.