

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16376

**Title:** Paraspinous Hemolymphangioma Associated with Adolescent Scoliosis

**Reviewer's code:** 02468118

**Reviewer's country:** Poland

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-16 17:21

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-29 16:30

| CLASSIFICATION   | LANGUAGE EVALUATION  | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION   |
|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing     | PubMed Search:                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing           | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor                 |  | [Y] No   | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                |
|  |  | BPG Search:                                    |  |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |  |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |  |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |  |
|  |  | [Y] No   |  |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, I would like to compliment you for your interesting case report. This is a well written manuscript, following standard rules for case reports. The case is described and presented in sufficient detail. I have only some minor concerns. Lines 29-30: ....deformity in the Chinese literature(11). To me, if it is a peer-reviewed journal, country of publication is not an argument; it would be more informative to indicate whether the cases were homogeneous. Line 35: I think you cannot firmly say "the first case" unless you report a comprehensive systematic literature review; a statement such as "to our best knowledge.." would be applicable. Line 83: I wonder if you can use the AIS term for this case, as the idiopathic nature of the deformity is - as you argue - doubtful. Please change or rationalize.

## ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**ESPS manuscript NO:** 16376

**Title:** Paraspinous Hemolymphangioma Associated with Adolescent Scoliosis

**Reviewer's code:** 03071544

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2015-01-16 17:21

**Date reviewed:** 2015-01-17 09:13

| CLASSIFICATION   | LANGUAGE EVALUATION   | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT                          | CONCLUSION  |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing                 | PubMed Search:                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor                 |   | [Y] No   | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision                           |
|  |   | BPG Search:                                    |   |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title        |   |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication |   |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism            |   |
|  |   | [Y] No   |   |

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The case is rare, and the correction is well done. It is a nice paper that should be published.