

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 14050

Title: A variant of multiple sclerosis presented with acute dementia and multiple tumefactive demyelinating brain lesions

Reviewer's code: 00724250

Reviewer's country: Afghanistan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2014-09-16 17:55

Date reviewed: 2014-10-13 07:29

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | PubMed Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | [Y] No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | [Y] No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting article on the scientific and practical level. Text well wrote and easily comprehensible with clear figures, nevertheless some modifications and corrections will be desirable to reconsider final work: In the introduction: -I think the introduction is too long, the authors must to reduce it and make short introduction -why the authors report review of the literature in the introduction? -Authors must pose the interrogations, questions and the goal of the paper to direct the reader. They have to introduce the subject discusses and polemic of M.S, difficulty of make diagnosis and variety of differentials diagnosis. -Some grammatical mistakes Case report -How the diagnosis of M.S was made? (Cerebral MRI and VEP only)?; there are some criteria to confirm diagnosis of M.S -The sequences T1 T2 weighted MRI and FLAIR are sufficient to confirm diagnosis??? Spinecho, Gradient echoT2? -What's the place of spectroscopy for diagnosis of M.S? and why the patient don't have it? -The protocol for treatment you have done, are protocol of your department??? You don't think immunosuppressive treatment is going to be better for the patient? - We informed that patient that she is in need for a disease modifying therapy to optimize therapy and prevent relapses but she



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

refused due to the low socioeconomic status. Which treatment would have given to your patient??
Figures: -Figure 1: both figures B and D are sufficient References: -To withdraw the old ones
references (36)