

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 17451

Title: How To Use MRI Following Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Locally Advanced Breast Cancer.

Reviewer's code: 02932462

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-03-08 15:17

Date reviewed: 2015-03-08 23:05

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript reviews the use of MRI following neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in advanced local breast cancer. Although well written, the manuscript would benefit from 1- The addition of Tables summarizing findings of the different research efforts (e.g., MRI-tumor phenotype; MRI-biomarker expression; MRI- residual tumor) 2- The MRI provided should be introduced in the context of the review i.e., highlighting the correlation / or not of MRI with clinical, pathological evidences 3- Additional references pertinent to the review should be considered (e.g, Tomida et al, 2014 Mol Clin Oncol. 2:783-788; Ooe et al, 2012. Breast Dis. 34:9-17.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 17451

Title: How To Use MRI Following Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Locally Advanced Breast Cancer.

Reviewer's code: 00742221

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-03-08 15:17

Date reviewed: 2015-03-09 15:21

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

very well written review

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 17451

Title: How To Use MRI Following Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Locally Advanced Breast Cancer.

Reviewer's code: 00742249

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-03-08 15:17

Date reviewed: 2015-03-09 19:44

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments: After reviewing the manuscript, I have concluded that World Journal of Clinical Cases-17451 does not have high priority for publication, because this paper does not offer new information or significant findings that enhance our knowledge of clinical aspects of breast cancer. That is all.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 17451

Title: How To Use MRI Following Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Locally Advanced Breast Cancer.

Reviewer's code: 00742250

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-03-08 15:17

Date reviewed: 2015-03-10 11:09

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review article is very nice and the reviewer could read it joyfully. However, it lacks two important articles in reference. Kim MJ et al. Acta Radiol 2014; Sep 16 pii: 0284185114548507 Parikh J et al. Radiology 2014; 272(2): 100-12. Please add them in the text and discuss again.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 17451

Title: How To Use MRI Following Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Locally Advanced Breast Cancer.

Reviewer's code: 02563187

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-03-08 15:17

Date reviewed: 2015-03-15 13:04

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

How to evaluate the curative effect after breast cancer neoadjuvant chemotherapy ? The authors give us the methods. Deficiency in the relationship between the image size and pathological size of the tumors. And the lack of assessment standard, and other imaging tools might be needed for the discussion.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 17451

Title: How To Use MRI Following Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Locally Advanced Breast Cancer.

Reviewer's code: 00742046

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-03-08 15:17

Date reviewed: 2015-03-08 21:30

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comment to ESPS 2015 00742046 In general, this is a well-documented article. Some comments are shown below. 1. Since the main goal of neoadjuvant chemotherapy is a shrinkage of relatively bulky-size tumor of breast cancer, the final extent of the disease is important, partly because of providing an adequate safe margin for tumor excision and partly because of avoidance of much more destructive surgery for the breast, which subsequently results in cosmetic problem and increased morbidity. Therefore, precise and accurate information is important. I suggest that authors might discuss the relationship between the image size and pathological size of the tumors. In addition, I highly recommend the following parameters might be better. One is the discrepancy between final pathological tumor size and diagnostic image tumor size. The other is a safe margin between the tumor and excisional cut end. 2. As shown by authors, biological markers might also act as confounding factors to influence the correlation between image tumor size and pathological tumor size. What is the authors' suggestion for these potential parameters. If the predictive rate should depend on many parameters, the value of any parameter might be limited. I personally preferred the



BAISHIDENG PUBLISHING GROUP INC

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<http://www.wjgnet.com>

image diagnostic value. 3. Finally, what is the authors' opinion for computed tomography-positron emission tomography? Since the authors tried to analyze the value of MRI, different modalities of MRI, and other imaging tools might be needed for the discussion.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 17451

Title: How To Use MRI Following Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Locally Advanced Breast Cancer.

Reviewer's code: 03017710

Reviewer's country: Afghanistan

Science editor: Xue-Mei Gong

Date sent for review: 2015-03-08 15:17

Date reviewed: 2015-03-27 12:10

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is well-designed review article. 1) Please provide the definition of subtype precisely, especially in HER2 positive subtype. 2) Following sentence, 'Indiscriminate interpretations will prevent MRI from achieving its maximum potential in the pre-operative setting,' which was repeatedly used in the abstract and main-text should be revised.