

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 14163

Title: Conservatively managed case of type 2 gallbladder perforation in a child.

Reviewer's code: 00071501

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-23 19:02

Date reviewed: 2014-12-13 23:34

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1.Many grammatical and spelling mistakes 2. Why was not an USG done in a child with 12 days duration of symptoms of sepsis at first presentation? 3.USG /CT diagnosis of sealed GB perforation without peritonitis can be managed conservatively . 4. Per cutaneous cholecystostomy in a distended GB with sludge and symptoms is a well established procedure. There is nothing new in this case report

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 14163

Title: Conservatively managed case of type 2 gallbladder perforation in a child.

Reviewer's code: 02574385

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-23 19:02

Date reviewed: 2014-10-15 19:08

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Interesting treatment of gallbladder perforation in a child. The authors should specify the dosage and duration of antibiotic therapy

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 14163

Title: Conservatively managed case of type 2 gallbladder perforation in a child.

Reviewer's code: 00044289

Reviewer's country: Norway

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2014-09-23 19:02

Date reviewed: 2014-11-28 16:40

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	PubMed Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting case history. However, the present version of the manuscript should be extensively reconsidered, to improve scientific content and to provide elements that can add to the current knowledge on this clinical situation. A few suggestions; - the references should be evaluated (e.g. ref #1 should not be the only reference for several assumptions made by the authors). References should be mainly related to children. -the description of the case should be shorter and more focused - several typos should be corrected - the authors should try to bring up any clues of importance which make a difference for the management of children as compared to adults with this condition - are there any differences...? - why did you do request a HIDA scintigraphy ? Was it useful for the decision-making ? Was MRCP considered or available ? - what are your indications for elective cholecystectomy (after some few weeks...) in children with this condition, was surgery considered ? - the manuscript should conclude with one or two learning points/take-home messages which may help fellow surgeons / the readers.