

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 16718

Title: Fractional Flow Reserve: current applications and overview of the available data

Reviewer's code: 01212783

Reviewer's country: Afghanistan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 20:07

Date reviewed: 2015-02-22 18:57

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		[Y] No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		[Y] No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Relevance to the Journal This paper is relevant to the journal. Manuscript Title The title of the paper is appropriate as it reflects the scope of the editorial accurately. Abstract The abstract is concise, relevant and accurate. Key Words - Key words are appropriate.. Introduction The introduction outlines concisely, the background to the editorial. Clinical Studies There is concise coverage of the most relevant and up-to-date studies in this area with appropriate references, which inform the editorial. However, there are grammatical errors that need to be addressed. Conclusion: The conclusion is adequate, relevant and backed up by the data. However, minor grammatical errors need to be corrected. References The list of references is relevant and complete.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

ESPS manuscript NO: 16718

Title: Fractional Flow Reserve: current applications and overview of the available data

Reviewer's code: 00722239

Reviewer's country: Afghanistan

Science editor: Yue-Li Tian

Date sent for review: 2015-01-28 20:07

Date reviewed: 2015-02-24 19:26

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a good review of flow fractional reserve (FFR). FFR is not so familiar except for cardiologists. This review clearly expounded FFR from the aspect of several clinical settings and it will be good reference for young clinician. I think this review matches the journal concept of WJCC. No specific comments.